arXiv:1603.05488v2 [hep-th] 24 May 2016

On the Casimir Effect in a Static Chromomagnetic Field

V. B. Bezerra[a], M. S. Cunha[b], C. R. Muniz[c], M. O. Tahim[d]

[a] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Cidade Universitária, s/n, João Pessoa-PB, 58051-970, Brazil.*

[b] *Grupo de Física Teórica (GFT), Centro de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Av. Dr. Silas Munguba, 1700, 60714-903, Fortaleza-CE, Brazil.*

[c] *Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Faculdade de Educação, Ciências e Letras de Iguatu, Rua Deocleciano Lima Verde, s/n Iguatu-CE, Brazil.*

[d] *Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Faculdade de Educação, Ciências e Letras do Sertão Central, 63900-000, Quixadá-CE, Brazil.*

Abstract

In this paper we compute the regularized vacuum energy associated with vectorial perturbations of the SU(2) Yang-Mills field. We regard Dirichlet and twisted boundary conditions in a chromomagnetic background, at zero temperature. Then, we analyse the behavior of the Casimir energy in the weak and strong coupling regimens, comparing with similar results obtained for the scalar and spinorial fields in an ordinary magnetic field background. In the weak coupling scenario, we show that it is necessary to introduce mass in the perturbations in order to leave manifest the effects due to the chromomagnetic field. Otherwise, in the strong coupling regimen, we evaluate the effects of the mass as well as of a compact extra dimension on the stabilization of the regularized vacuum energy.

Key words: Casimir Effect, Yang-Mills fields, stabilization

[a] E-mail:valdir@fisica.ufpb.br

[b] E-mail:marcony.cunha@uece.br

[c] E-mail:celio.muniz@uece.br

[d] E-mail:makarius.tahim@uece.br

I. INTRODUCTION

It is known since the late 1970s that the so-called Abelian solutions of the Yang-Mills (Y-M) theory are unstable [1–4], *i.e.*, there are modes for these fields associated to the exponential growth of infinitesimal perturbations in the strong coupling regimen. Such Abelian solutions represent a gauge choice for the potentials which leave the field strength unidirectional in the internal space of the group as well as in the ordinary space and can be used in order to investigate the vacuum instability of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) [5]. These fields keep a formal relationship with an electric or magnetic field, and for this reason they are called chromoelectric or chromomagnetic field. The motivations to study such fields are many, such as the analysis of their behaviour in nontrivial topologies which stabilize them [6] and of models in which they are seeds for the observed large scale (intergalactic) magnetic fields, this time stabilized by the prevailing conditions of the primordial Universe [7–9].

Nielsen and Olesen [2] also studied the referred instability as well as its effects on asymptotic freedom and on vacuum polarization, finding the energies of the perturbation modes of the SU(2) Yang-Mills (YM) fields in a chromomagnetic background. These authors have shown that at least one mode is unstable since the energy eigenvalue becomes imaginary. Other studies, like the previous, also indicate that the QCD vacuum is a very complicated system [10] (see also references therein), meaning that at short distances, below the QCD scale, it presents a nontrivial topological structure, in which may occur states describing a kind of condensed particle, as a superconductor, with energy level below the one associated to states without particles [11].

Another phenomenon concerning to the richness and complexity of the vacuum is the Casimir effect, which represents the manifestation of the basic physical reality named quantum vacuum. It has been exhaustively studied after H. Casimir theoretically has predicted the phenomenon [12], investigating the attractive force that arises between two parallel, infinite, and uncharged metallic plates placed in the perfect vacuum at zero temperature. This force is caused essentially by the shift in the electromagnetic zero point energy due to the presence of the boundaries. The theoretical and experimental studies related to the phenomenon have grown since then, covering a wide range of geometrical configurations, boundaries made by a variety of materials, and quantum fields of different spins [13].

In particular, the analysis of the Casimir effect in nontrivial topologies has been made in

several contexts from Cosmology [14–17], including universes with compact extra dimensions [18, 22], up to condensed matter [23–26]. In the context of alternative theories of gravity, the phenomenon was studied in [27, 28], and in the General Relativity, by linearizing the field equations and considering real parallel plates. Recently, the effect was considered as a possible phenomenon which can be used to detect gravitons [29].

The complexity of the QCD vacuum has been little explored in the Casimir effect topic. The works on this theme practically covers only the bag model for the nucleon structure [18, 30–33]. Therefore, there is a gap in which concerns this subject and for that reason it is necessary to study the Casimir effect in the realm of the QCD vacuum. In the present paper we intend to contribute in that direction, and in order to do this we will calculate the vacuum energy of vectorial perturbations of the SU(2) Y-M field in a chromomagnetic background field and in the configuration of the colorless parallel plates, under Dirichlet and twisted boundary conditions (b.c.). The procedure consist of summing zero-point energies using the results obtained in [2] by solving the linearized equations of motion, and we regularize the found energy by the zeta function approach. Then we analyze the behavior of the Casimir energy in both the weak and strong coupling regimens. In the first case, we will show that it is necessary to introduce a massive field in the perturbations and in the second one we will evaluate the contribution of the stable part of the regularized energy without taking into account the mass of the perturbations, and then the effects of the mass as well as of a compact extra dimension on the stabilization of the Casimir energy as a whole. Comparisons with results found in the literature [19–21] for scalar and spinorial fields in the presence of an ordinary magnetic field will be made.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present the general expression for the regularized vacuum energy and discuss the considered regimens. In section 3 we discuss the results and present some remarks.

II. QUANTUM VACUUM ENERGY OF Y-M FLUCTUATIONS IN AN UNIFORM CHROMOMAGNETIC FIELD

In this section, we present the general calculations of the regularized vacuum energy of the vectorial quantum fluctuations $a_\mu(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of a Y-M field in presence of a static and uniform chromomagnetic background. The approach consists of summing over half of frequencies,

since the equation of motion for these fluctuations contains a harmonic oscillator potential [4].

The vectorial perturbations of a massless Abelian Y-M field have eigenfrequencies given by [2]

$$\omega^2_{kn\epsilon} = \frac{k^2\pi^2}{a^2} + gB(2n+1-2\epsilon), \tag{1}$$

$$\omega^2_{kn\epsilon} = \frac{4(k+1/2)^2\pi^2}{a^2} + gB(2n+1-2\epsilon), \tag{2}$$

for Dirichlet b.c., $a_\mu(x,y,z,t) = a_\mu(x,y,z+a,t)$, and twisted b.c., $a_\mu(x,y,z,t) = -a_\mu(x,y,z+a,t)$, respectively [18]. This latter is quite used in the bag model of nucleons, hence our interest in studying it in the present context. Here $k, n = 0, 1, 2, 3...$ and $\epsilon = -1, 1$, since the perturbation is initially due to a massless spin one particle. The plates have area L^2 and are separated by a distance a.

Considering initially the Dirichlet b.c., the vacuum energy $\omega^{(0)}$ will be the half of the sum over all the frequencies, which is given by

$$\omega^{(0)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}(gB)^{3/2}L^2}{4\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\sqrt{\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}+\frac{3}{2}+n}+\sqrt{\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}-\frac{1}{2}+n}\right] \tag{3}$$

where we take into account the degeneracy $gB/2\pi$ per unit area. The modes $k = 0$ were excluded, since its contribution to the vacuum energy corresponds to an additive constant independent of a. The expression in Eq. (3) is divergent, and we will regularize it via the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta_H(s,q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+q)^{-s}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{(0)}_{reg} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(gB)^{3/2}L^2}{4\pi}\lim_{s\to -1/2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\zeta_H\left(s,\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)+\zeta_H\left(s,\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(gB)^{3/2}L^2}{4\pi}\lim_{s\to -1/2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\zeta_H\left(s,\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\zeta_H\left(s,\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]+\\ &- \frac{\sqrt{2}(gB)^{3/2}L^2}{4\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\frac{k^2\pi^2}{2gBa^2}+\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Firstly, we will study the regimen which corresponds to perturbations in a uniform weak chromomagnetic field, as well as weak coupling and short distance between the plates. In this regimen, the stability of the Yang-Mills fields is assured. Doing the asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz zeta functions as follows

$$\zeta_H(-1/2, x+1/2) = -\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/2}) \tag{5}$$

$$\zeta_H(-1/2, x-1/2) = -\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2} + \sqrt{x} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/2}), \tag{6}$$

for $x = k^2\pi^2/gBa^2 \gg 1$, and substituting the above expressions in (4) taking into account that $\sqrt{x+1/2} \approx \sqrt{x}$, we set the following result

$$\omega^{(0)}_{reg} \approx -\frac{\zeta(-3)\pi^2 L^2}{6a^3}, \tag{7}$$

where $\zeta(-3) = 1/120$. Notice that we do not have dependence on the chromomagnetic field nor on the coupling in the considered regimen. In fact, Eq. (7) is just the trivial result of the Casimir energy of a massless scalar field. In order to see the effects due to both the coupling and the field in this level of approximation, it is necessary to take into account the modes with $\epsilon = 0$. In other words, the perturbations should have mass [2], which we nevertheless will consider negligible in that regimen, but whose effect on the spin - the appearance of the third polarization state - is taken into regard. Thus, let us add to the Eq. (4) the term $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_H(-1/2, x)$, so that in the investigated limit we have $\zeta_H(-1/2, x) \approx -\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x}$, which yields a regularized vacuum energy

$$\omega^{(0)}_{reg} \approx -\frac{\pi^2 L^2}{480a^3} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\zeta(-1)gBL^2}{8a}, \tag{8}$$

where $\zeta(-1) = -1/12$. Notice the character also attractive of the force associated to the correction due to both the coupling constant and chromomagnetic field.

From Eq.(2), the regularized vacuum energy under twisted b.c. can be simply obtained by making $a \to a/2$ and $\zeta(n) \to \zeta_H(n, 1/2)$ in the above expression, which result in

$$\omega^{(0)}_{reg} \approx \frac{7}{8}\frac{\pi^2 L^2}{480a^3} + \frac{\sqrt{2}gBL^2}{192a}. \tag{9}$$

The force has now a repulsive nature, even in the situation in which we do not take into account the mass of the Y.M. field perturbations.

Now we will work in the opposite regimen, *i.e.*, when $gBa^2 \gg 1$, in which the instabilities of the Y-M fields are manifest. The procedure to regularize the vacuum energy will be something different from that one employed so far. Now, we consider the identity

$$z^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1}\exp(-zt), \tag{10}$$

and define

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{-1}(s) \doteq \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\exp(-k^2\pi^2 t/a^2)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\exp[-gB(2n+3)t], \tag{11}$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{1}(s) \doteq \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\exp(-k^2\pi^2 t/a^2)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\exp[-gB(2n-1)t], \tag{12}$$

for Dirichlet b.c., where the sum over k this time is taken on all the integers, in such a way that a factor $1/2$ must be considered. The subindexes are related to the spin ϵ. Thus we have

$$\omega_{reg}^{(0)} = \frac{(gB)L^2}{8\pi} \lim_{s\to -1/2}[\tilde{\zeta}_{-1}(s) + \tilde{\zeta}_1(s)]. \tag{13}$$

Making the change of variable $t \to (\sqrt{gB}/a)t$ and using the Poisson ressumation formula

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-m^2 rt\right) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (\pi/rt)^{1/2} \exp\left(-m^2\pi^2/rt\right), \tag{14}$$

Eq. (11) turns into

$$\zeta_{-1}(s) = \frac{(gB)^{(1-2s)/4} a^{s+1/2}}{\Gamma(s)\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{s-3/2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-k^2\sqrt{gB}a/t\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-(2n+3)\sqrt{gB}at\right]. \tag{15}$$

The last sum in the integral above can be calculated yielding $e^{-\sqrt{gB}at}/(e^{2\sqrt{gB}at} - 1)$, which is reduced to $e^{-3\sqrt{gB}at}$ in the considered approximation. Taking into account the leading terms of the first sum in Eq. (15), *i.e.*, those ones for which $k = \pm 1$, we can solve the remaining integral and obtain the following result

$$\lim_{s\to -1/2} \tilde{\zeta}_{-1}(s) = -\frac{2(gB)^{1/2}\sqrt{3}}{\pi} K_1(2\sqrt{3gB}a), \tag{16}$$

which is given in terms of the modified Bessel function, $K_1(z)$.

Regarding $\tilde{\zeta}_1(s)$, we arrive at a non-convergent integral, since it contains an element of instability in the Y-M fields - recall that the eigenfrequencies with $n = 0$ and $\epsilon = 1$ become imaginary in the regimen under consideration. Thus, in order to suppress this instability we must remove the term $n = 0$ from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-(2n-1)\sqrt{gB}at\right] \approx \exp\left(\sqrt{gB}at\right)$. In this case $\tilde{\zeta}_1(s)$ vanishes, which eliminates the divergent part of the vacuum energy in the cited regimen. This is necessary because we want only evaluate the stable part of the Casimir energy, since we are not taking into account the mass contribution, which should stabilize the other part leaving it finite. Below, we will return to this question. Then, the stable part of the regularized vacuum energy is, therefore

$$\omega_{reg,st}^{(0)} \approx \frac{gBL^2}{8\pi}\zeta_{-1}(-1/2) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}(gB)^{3/2}L^2}{4\pi^2} K_1(2\sqrt{3gB}a). \tag{17}$$

This stable contribution to the vacuum energy is responsible for an attractive force between the plates, which is very weak, tending to zero in the limit of very strong fields. With respect

to twisted b.c., the results above are the same, except for numerical factors, and the signal of the force does not change. The unstable part remains for $\epsilon = 1$. It is worth noticing that the expression for the regularized vacuum is slightly different for a charged and massless scalar particle in a magnetic field, including just also other numerical factors.

Now, let us evaluate the influence of the mass of the fluctuations on the system, adding m^2 to Eq.(1), which will yield a factor $\exp(-m^2 t)$ in the integrals of Eqs. (11) and (12). Then this latter will converge provided $m \geq \sqrt{gB}$, which means that a large value for the mass contributes to stabilization of the Casimir energy, in the regimen under consideration. We must also consider the spin term $\epsilon = 0$ due to this mass, and a third zeta function given by

$$\tilde{\zeta}_0(s) \doteq \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-(m^2 + k^2\pi^2)t/a^2\right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-gB(2n+1)t\right], \tag{18}$$

must be added to the terms in the brackets of Eq. (13). Thus, after adopting the same procedure above, we find the Casimir energy

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{reg}^{(0)} \approx -\frac{(gB)L^2}{4\pi^2} [&\sqrt{3gB + m^2} K_1(2\sqrt{3gB + m^2}a) + \sqrt{m^2 - gB} K_1(2\sqrt{m^2 - gB}a) \\ &+ \sqrt{gB + m^2}) K_1(2\sqrt{gB + m^2}a)]. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Regarding the twisted b.c., one can show that modifications occur only in the numerical factors, such that the analytical results are the same.

The feature of the inclusion of a large mass in the Y-M fluctuations as an element of their stabilization is similar to the problem of consider the existence of a compact extra dimension *à la* Kaluza-Klein with topology S^1 in the strong coupling/field regime. To the eigenfrequencies (1) we should add the term ℓ^2/R^2, where $\ell = 0, 1, 2...$ and R is the radius of the extra dimension. In this way, with $R^2 \ll a/\sqrt{gB}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{reg}^{(0)} \approx &-\frac{(gB)L^2}{4\pi^2 R} \left[\sqrt{1 + 3gBR^2} K_1\left(\frac{2a}{R}\sqrt{1 + 3gBR^2}\right) + \sqrt{1 - gBR^2} K_1\left(\frac{2a}{R}\sqrt{1 - gBR^2}\right)\right] \\ &- \frac{(gB)L^2}{4\pi^2 R} \left[\sqrt{1 + gBR^2} K_1\left(\frac{2a}{R}\sqrt{1 + gBR^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Thus, the compact extra dimension would stabilize the regularized quantum vacuum of the Y-M fluctuations below a critical radius, $R_c \approx (gB)^{-1/2}$.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS

We have computed the regularized vacuum energy of SU(2) Y-M quantum perturbations in an uniform chromomagnetic background field in the configuration of the idealized uncharged and colorless parallel plates, using Dirichlet and twisted boundary conditions, at zero temperature. We analysed its behaviour in both the regimens of weak and strong coupling/field using the technique of the zeta function regularization. In the first case, taking into account Dirichlet b.c., in which the system is free of Nielsen-Olesen instabilities, we initially have analysed Y-M massless perturbations, obtaining a Casimir energy that is trivially identical to that one of a massless scalar field without a background field. Then the need of evaluating the effects of both the coupling and chromomagnetic field in the weak approximation obliged us to consider Y-M fluctuations with negligible mass, which resulted in a correction to the Casimir energy proportional to a^{-1}, amplifying the attractive force previously found. On the other hand, we found that the twisted b.c. changes the signal of the obtained forces, as well as the numerical factors. It is interesting to point out that under both conditions such a dependence on a is related to a system with one spatial dimension because in general $\omega_{reg}^{(0)} \propto a^{-d}$ [34]. We believe that this aspect is typical of the quantum vacuum associated to the Y-M fluctuations, since that it is possible to show that the massive scalar particle or a spinorial one in an ordinary magnetic field does not exhibit such a feature.

Next, we have investigated the strong coupling or background field scale, in which the Nielsen-Olesen instabilities are unavoidable. As expected, we found a divergent part in the Casimir energy and the stable contribution expressed through Eq. (17) can be once more compared with the cases of a massless charged scalar particle or a spinorial one under influence of an ordinary constant magnetic field in this regimen [20]. In this case the Casimir energies differ from those ones of Y-M perturbations in a chromomagnetic field just by numerical factors. The twisted b.c. yields the same result, except for numerical factors, too.

We also have shown that a very large mass associated to the fluctuations stabilizes the quantum vacuum, and this is similar to consider the simplest form of a compact extra dimension (*i.e.*, the space having topology $M^4 \times S^1$ and radius R) in the stabilization of this energy, and in fact it occurs provided that $\sqrt{gB}R \leqslant 1$. This permit us to conclude that, at zero temperature, a possible mechanism to stabilize the quantum vacuum of Y-M

perturbations would be the existence of these extra dimensions. Such a stabilization of SU(2) Y-M vacuum energy was already studied in context of other topologies, as on the torus [6]. As future perspective for the present work, we propose to study effects of more general compact extra dimensions as well as of the temperature on this stabilization.

ACKNOLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank CNPq by partial support.

---

[1] J. Mandula, Phys.Lett. **B67**, 175 (1977).

[2] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl.Phys. **B144**, 376)1978).

[3] S.J. Chang and N. Weiss, Phys.Rev. **D20**, 869, (1979).

[4] P. Sikivie, Phys.Rev. **D20**, 877, (1979).

[5] G. K. Savvidy, Phys.Lett. **B71**, 133 (1977).

[6] T.H. Hansson, P. van Baal, and I. Zahed, Nucl. Phys. **B289**, 628 (1987).

[7] K. Enquist, and P. Olesen., Phys. Lett. **B329**, 195 (1994).

[8] V. Skalozub, and M. Bordag., Nucl. Phys. **B576**, 430 (2000).

[9] V. Demchik and V. Skalozuba, Eur.Phys.J. **C27**, 601 (2003).

[10] O. Nachtmann, *On QCD Vacuum Structure and Its Manifestations*, Proceedings of 1st. ELFE Summer School *On Confinement Physics*, Edited by S. D. Bass and P.A.M. Guichon, Editions Frontiers (1996).

[11] M.N. Chernodub, T. Kalaydzhyan, J. Van Doorsselaere, and Henri Verschelde, Phys. Lett. **B730**, 63 (2014).

[12] H. B. G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. **B51**, 793 (1948).

[13] M. Bordag, G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Advances in the Casimir Effect*, Oxford University Press (2014).

[14] A. Zhuk and H. Kleinert, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **109**, 307 (1996).

[15] V. B. Bezerra, V. M. Mostepanenko, H. F. Mota, and C. Romero, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 104025 (2011).

[16] V.B. Bezerra, H.F. Mota, and C.R. Muniz, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 024015 (2014).

[17] H. F. Mota and V. B. Bezerra, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 124039 (2015).

[18] V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov, *The Casimir Effect and Its Applications*, Oxford University Press, New York, (1998).

[19] M.V. Cougo, C. Farina, M.R. Negrao, and A.C. Tort, J. Phys. A 32, 4457 (1999).

[20] A. Erdas and K. P. Seltzer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A29**, 1450091 (2014).

[21] A. Erdas, Int. J Mod. Phys. A 31, 1650018 (2016).

[22] L. P. Teo, Nucl. Phys. **B819**, 431 (2009).

[23] A. G. Grushin and A. Cortijo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 020403 (2011).

[24] P. Rodriguez-Lopez and A. G. Grushin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 056804 (2014).

[25] A. G. Grushin, P. Rodriguez-Lopez, and A. Cortijo, Phys. Rev. **B84**, 045119 (2011).

[26] C. R. Muniz, M. O. Tahim, G. D. Saraiva, and M. S. Cunha, Phys.Rev. **D92**, 025035 (2015).

[27] C. R. Muniz, V. B. Bezerra, and M. S. Cunha, Ann. Phys. **359**, 55 (2015).

[28] C. R. Muniz, V. B. Bezerra, and M. S. Cunha, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 104035 (2013).

[29] J. Q. Quach, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 081104 (2015).

[30] K. A. Milton, Phys. Rev D **D22**, 1441 (1980).

[31] K. A. Milton, Phys. Rev D **D22**, 1444 (1980).

[32] K. A. Miilton, Ann. Phys. **150**, 432 (1983).

[33] K. A. Milton, Phys. Rev D **D27**, 439 (1983).

[34] K. A. Milton, *The Casimir Effect: Physical Manifestations of Zero-point Energy*, World Scientific, Singapore (2001).